arXiv:math-ph/0511088v1 28 Nov 2005

Behavior of a boundary of moving volume inside of a smooth flow of compressible liquid

Olga Rozanova

Department of Differential Equations, Mathematics and Mechanics Faculty
Moscow State University
Glavnoe zdanie GSP-2 Vorobiovy Gory 119992 Moscow Russia
E-mail: *rozanova@mech.math.msu.su*

Abstract.

The question on expansion of moving volume inside of a smooth flow of the compressible liquid is under consideration. We find a condition on initial data such that if it holds, then within a finite time either the boundary of the moving volume attains a given neighborhood of a certain point (that do not belong to the volume initially), or some a priori estimate for the pressure on the boundary of volume fails.

Let us consider the following system of conservation laws

$$\rho(\partial_t \mathbf{V} + (\mathbf{V}, \nabla)\, \mathbf{V}) = -\nabla P, \tag{1}$$

$$\partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{V}) = 0 \tag{2}$$

$$\partial_t S + (\mathbf{V}, \nabla) S = 0. \tag{3}$$

The density, velocity vector and entropy ($\rho, \mathbf{V} = (V_1, ..., V_n)$ and S, respectively) are unknown. These functions depend on time t and on point $x = (x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Here $P(t, x)$ is the pressure, γ is the adiabatic exponent ($\gamma = const > 1$). We consider (1 – 3) together with the state equation

$$P = \rho^\gamma e^S. \tag{4}$$

It follows from (3) and (4), that for smooth solutions

$$\partial_t P + (\mathbf{V}, \nabla P) + \gamma P \, div \, \mathbf{V} = 0 \tag{5}$$

holds.

We set the Cauchy problem for (1–3), namely,

$$\rho(0, x) = \rho_0(x),\ \mathbf{V}(0, x) = \mathbf{V}_0(x),\ S(0, x) = S_0(x). \tag{6}$$

According to (4), the pressure $P(t, x)$ can be expressed initially through initial data (6) as $P(0, x) := P_0(x) = \rho_0^\gamma(x) e^{S_0(x)}$.

Problem (1–3, 6) has a solution so smooth as initial data (locally in time), that is, for example, if the initial data are of class $C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then there exists such $T_* > 0$, that for $t \in [0, T]$, $T < T_*$, the solution to system (1–3) will be classical ([1],[2]). The pressure has the smoothness C^1 as well.

We consider a finite moving volume $\mathcal{V}(t)$(may be, disconnected), with the smooth boundary $\partial\mathcal{V}(t)$, consisting from the same particles.

We suppose that initially a certain point x_0 do not belong to $\mathcal{V}(t)$. Let us set the following question: what conditions we have to impose on initial data provided they are known only inside $\mathcal{V}(0)$, to guarantee that within a time where the flow keeps smoothness, the boundary of given moving volume will attain a given ε - neighborhood of point x_0? It is clear that for the answer to this question it needs to do some assumptions on the thermodynamic values in the whole space, not only inside $\mathcal{V}(0)$.

It is known that the lose of smoothness signifies that either the solution itself or its gradient rase without bound [1],[2],[3]. As the solution keeps smoothness at $t\in[0,T]$, $T<T_*$, then there exists $M(T)$, such that

$$\Big|\int\limits_{\partial\mathcal{V}(t)} (\frac{\mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{x}|},\mathbf{N})P(t,x)\,d\Gamma\Big| \le M(T),$$

where $d\Gamma$ is an element of surface $\partial\mathcal{V}(t)$. The assumption is that we know the constant $M(T)$ in advance.

Definition *We will say that the pressure in the moment t is* **distributed** *along the boundary $\partial\mathcal{V}(t)$ of domain $\mathcal{V}(t)$* **regularly with the constant** $M\ge 0$, *if*

$$\Big|\int\limits_{\partial\mathcal{V}(t)} (\frac{\mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{x}|},\mathbf{N})P(t,x)\,d\Gamma\Big| \le M. \tag{7}$$

We point out that if the pressure $P(t,x)$ is constant, then the integral in the left hand side of (7) is equal to zero. When we set M sufficiently small, we assume that the volume will not occur in the zone of large gradient of pressure.

Let us recall that equations (1–3, 5) are differential corollaries of conservation laws (namely, conservation of mass m, impulses and total energy E) taking into account the first law of thermodynamics [4]. In our notation

$$m=\int\limits_{\mathcal{V}(t)} \rho(t,x)\,dx;$$

in the terms of density, velocity and pressure the total energy has the form

$$E=\int\limits_{\mathcal{V}(t)} (\frac{1}{2}\rho(t,x)|\mathbf{V}|^2+\frac{1}{\gamma-1}P(t,x))\,dx.$$

Let us introduce the functional

$$G_\phi(t)=\int\limits_{\mathcal{V}(t)} \rho(t,x)\phi(|\mathbf{x}|)\,dx.$$

We denote $\sigma=(\sigma_1,...,\sigma_K)$ the vector with components $\sigma_k=V_ix_j-V_jx_i$, $i>j$, $i,j=1,...,n$, $k=1,...,K$, $K=\mathrm{C}_n^2$.

Lemmas 1 and 2 contain some properties of $G_\phi(t)$, that we use below.

Lemma 1. *Let us suppose that $\phi(|\mathbf{x}|)$ belongs to the class C^2 inside $\mathcal{V}(t)$. For classical solution to system (1), (2), (5) the following equalities take place:*

$$\frac{dG_\phi(t)}{dt}=\int\limits_{\mathcal{V}(t)} \frac{\phi'(|\mathbf{x}|)}{|\mathbf{x}|}(\mathbf{V},\mathbf{x})\rho\,dx,$$

$$\frac{d^2G_\phi(t)}{dt^2} = I_{1,\phi}(t) + I_{2,\phi}(t) + I_{3,\phi}(t) + I_{4,\phi}(t),$$

where

$$I_{1,\phi}(t) = \int\limits_{\mathcal{V}(t)} \frac{\phi''(|\mathbf{x}|)}{|\mathbf{x}|^2}|(\mathbf{V},\mathbf{x})|^2\rho\,dx,$$

$$I_{2,\phi}(t) = \int\limits_{\mathcal{V}(t)} \frac{\phi'(|\mathbf{x}|)}{|\mathbf{x}|^3}|\sigma|^2\rho\,dx,$$

$$I_{3,\phi}(t) = \int\limits_{\mathcal{V}(t)} (\phi''(|\mathbf{x}|) + (n-1)\frac{\phi'(|\mathbf{x}|)}{|\mathbf{x}|})P\,dx,$$

$$I_{4,\phi}(t) = -\int\limits_{\partial\mathcal{V}(t)} \frac{\phi'(|\mathbf{x}|)}{|\mathbf{x}|}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{N})P\,d\Gamma,$$

where $\mathbf{N}$ *is the outer unit normal to the boundary* $\partial\mathcal{V}(t)$*.*

To prove Lemma 1 we firstly apply the formula of differentiation with respect to time for the integral taken over a moving volume [4], namely,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{\mathcal{V}(t)} f(t,x)dx = \int\limits_{\mathcal{V}(t)} \big(\frac{\partial f(t,x)}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(f(t,x)\mathbf{V})\big)\,dx,$$

then we use the general Stokes formula. For example, taking into account (2) we get that

$$\frac{dG_\phi(t)}{dt} = \int\limits_{\mathcal{V}(t)} \rho'_t(t,x)\phi(|\mathbf{x}|)\,dx = \int\limits_{\mathcal{V}(t)} \Big(-\operatorname{div}(\rho\mathbf{V})\phi(|\mathbf{x}|) + \operatorname{div}\big(\rho\mathbf{V}\phi(|\mathbf{x}|)\big)\Big)\,dx =$$

$$= \int\limits_{\mathcal{V}(t)} \big(\nabla\phi(|\mathbf{x}|),\mathbf{V}\rho\big)\,dx = \int\limits_{\mathcal{V}(t)} \frac{\phi'(|\mathbf{x}|)}{|\mathbf{x}|}(\mathbf{V},\mathbf{x})\rho\,dx.$$

□

Lemma 2. *Let* $\phi''(|\mathbf{x}|) > 0$*. Then in the assumptions of Lemma 1*

$$\left(\frac{dG_\phi(t)}{dt}\right)^2 \le \sup_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{V}(t)} \frac{(\phi'(|\mathbf{x}|))^2}{\phi''(|\mathbf{x}|)\phi(|\mathbf{x}|)}\,G_\phi(t)\int\limits_{\mathcal{V}(t)} \frac{\phi''(|\mathbf{x}|)}{|\mathbf{x}|^2}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{V})^2\rho\,dx\,.$$

To prove this Lemma it is sufficient to apply the Hölder inequality to the integral $\frac{dG_\phi(t)}{dt}$. □

Let us choose $\phi(|\mathbf{x}|) = |\mathbf{x}|^q$, $q < 0$. We will denote in this case $G_\phi(t)$, $\frac{dG_\phi(t)}{dt}$, $I_{i,\phi}(t),\ i = 1,...,4$ as $G_q(t), F_q(t), I_{i,q}(t),$ respectively. We note that for this choice of $\phi(|\mathbf{x}|)$ the value of $G_q(t)$ is non-negative.

To facilitate the formulation of results we introduce the following denotation:

$$Q_q(t) := \frac{2mE}{1+|q|}\left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon M}{2E} - |q+n-2|\frac{CG_q^\gamma(t)}{2E}\varepsilon^{-(q\gamma+n(\gamma-1))}\right), \tag{8}$$

$$R_q(t) := (|Q_q(t)|)^{1/2} \tag{9}$$

where C is a positive constant, depending on initial data, n and γ, defined below in (14),(15),(16), $\varepsilon > 0,\ M \ge 0$. We will denote $\operatorname{dist}(\partial\mathcal{V}(t), x_0)$ the distance from the boundary of moving volume to $x_0 = 0$.

The main result of the paper is the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *Let a finite moving volume $\mathcal{V}(t)$ of compressible liquid (subject to system (1–3)) with C^1 - smooth boundary $\partial\mathcal{V}(t)$ do not contain a certain point x_0. Suppose that the flow is C^1 – smooth for all $t \in [0,T]$, $T \le \infty$, and the pressure along the boundary $\partial\mathcal{V}(t)$ is distributed regularly with a constant M uniformly in t for $t \in [0,T]$. Let us suppose also that $s_0 := \min\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} S_0(x) > -\infty$.*

Choose some real numbers $q < -n - \frac{2}{\gamma-1}$ and ε, where ε is such that $0 < \varepsilon <$ $\operatorname{dist}(\partial\mathcal{V}(0), x_0)$.

Then for all initial data (6) there exists such constant $\delta \le 0$, depending on ε, q, T, M, n, γ, m, E, $G_q(0)$, s_0, that if initially

$$\int\limits_{\mathcal{V}(0)} |\mathbf{x}-x_0|^{q-2}(\mathbf{V}(0,x), \mathbf{x}-x_0)\rho_0(x)\,dx < \delta, \tag{10}$$

then within a time t_1, later then T, the boundary of given liquid volume will attain the ε – neighborhood of point x_0.

More precisely, if

$$Q_q(0) > 0, \textit{ then } \quad \delta = -\varepsilon^{q-1}R_q(0)\mathrm{cth}\left(\frac{(|q|+1)R_q(0)T}{2\varepsilon m}\right), \tag{11}$$

if

$$Q_q(0) = 0, \textit{ then } \quad \delta = -\frac{\varepsilon^q m}{(|q|+1)T}, \tag{11$'$}$$

if

$$Q_q(0) < 0, \quad T \ge \frac{\pi\varepsilon}{2(|q|+1)R_q(0)}, \textit{ then } \quad \delta = 0, \tag{11$''$}$$

if

$$Q_q(0) < 0, \quad T < \frac{\pi\varepsilon}{2(|q|+1)R_q(0)}, \textit{ then } \quad \delta = -\varepsilon^{q-1}R_q(0)\mathrm{ctg}\left(\frac{(|q|+1)R_q(0)T}{\varepsilon m}\right). \tag{11$'''$}$$

Remark 1. Condition (10) requires that the integral in its left hand side will be negative and, generally speaking, sufficiently large by modulus. To guarantee this, either the boundary of volume $\mathcal{V}(0)$ should be sufficiently close to the point x_0, or the velocity and density should be large inside the chosen volume. The negativity of integral is connected with the fact that the vector if velocity inside the volume initially "in average"forms the obtuse angle with the vector $\mathbf{x} - x_0$.

Proof of Theorem 1. For the simplicity we take $x_0 = 0$. If the theorem statement is not true, then, despite condition (10), there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the neighborhood $B^n_\varepsilon(0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \big| |\mathbf{x}| < \varepsilon\}$ will never belong to the moving volume $\mathcal{V}(t)$, if initially the origin do not belong to $\mathcal{V}(0)$.

Since $0 \notin \mathcal{V}(t)$, then according to our assumption $\phi(|\mathbf{x}|)$ has no singularities inside $\mathcal{V}(t)$.

It follows from Lemma 2 that

$$(F_q(t))^2 \le \frac{|q|+1}{|q|} G_\phi(t) \int\limits_{\mathcal{V}(t)} \frac{\phi''(|\mathbf{x}|)}{|\mathbf{x}|^2}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{V})^2 \rho\,dx. \tag{12}$$

Further we apply Lemma 1 together with (12) and get that

$$\frac{dF_q(t)}{dt} \ge \frac{|q|+1}{|q|}\frac{F_q(t))^2}{G_q(t)} + I_{2,q}(t) + I_{3,q}(t) + I_{4,q}(t), \tag{13}$$

with

$$I_{2,q}(t) = q \int\limits_{\mathcal{V}(t)} |\mathbf{x}|^{q-4} |\sigma|^2 \rho \, dx,$$

$$I_{3,q}(t) = q(q+n-2) \int\limits_{\mathcal{V}(t)} |\mathbf{x}|^{q-2} P \, dx,$$

$$I_{4,q}(t) = -q \int\limits_{\partial\mathcal{V}(t)} |\mathbf{x}|^{q-1} (\frac{\mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{x}|}, \mathbf{N}) P \, d\Gamma.$$

The first item in this sum is non-negative, the second item is non-positive, the tird one is positive provided $q < 2 - n$ and non-positive otherwise, the fourth one can have any sight in dependence on the form of the boundary $\mathcal{V}(t)$.

Let us estimate these items. We obtain that

$$|I_{2,q}(t)| \le 2|q| \varepsilon^{q-2} E,$$

$$|I_{4,q}(t)| \le q \varepsilon^{q-1} M.$$

To estimate $I_{3,q}(t)$ we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 3. *Let $q < -n - \frac{2}{\gamma-1}$. Then, if $B^n_\varepsilon(0) \notin \mathcal{V}(t)$, the following estimate holds:*

$$\int\limits_{\mathcal{V}(t)} |\mathbf{x}|^{q-2} \rho^\gamma \, dx \ge C_1 G_q^\gamma(t) \varepsilon^{-((q+n)(\gamma-1)+2)},$$

here the positive constant C_1 depends on γ, q, n.

Let us prove Lemma 3. According to the Hölder inequality we have that

$$G_q(t) = \int\limits_{\mathcal{V}(t)} |\mathbf{x}|^q \rho \, dx = \int\limits_{\mathcal{V}(t)} |\mathbf{x}|^q \rho \frac{|\mathbf{x}|^{\frac{q-2}{\gamma}}}{|\mathbf{x}|^{\frac{q-2}{\gamma}}} \le$$

$$\le \left(\int\limits_{\mathcal{V}(t)} |\mathbf{x}|^{q-2} \rho^\gamma \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \left(\int\limits_{\mathcal{V}(t)} |\mathbf{x}|^{\frac{2+q(\gamma-1)}{\gamma-1}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}} \le$$

$$\le C_2 \left(\int\limits_{\mathcal{V}(t)} |\mathbf{x}|^{q-2} \rho^\gamma \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}},$$

with

$$C_2 = \left(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B^n_\varepsilon(0)} |\mathbf{x}|^{\frac{2+q(\gamma-1)}{\gamma-1}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}} =$$

$$= \left(\frac{\sigma_n (1-\gamma)}{(q+n)(\gamma-1)+2} \right)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}} \varepsilon^{\frac{(q+n)(\gamma-1)+2}{\gamma}}.$$

The integral converges provided q satisfies to restrictions from the statement of Lemma 3.

Thus, we denote

$$C_1 := \left(\frac{\sigma_n (1-\gamma)}{(q+n)(\gamma-1)+2} \right)^{1-\gamma}, \tag{14}$$

and obtain the result of Lemma 3 after elementary calculations. □

We continue the proof of the Theorem. Now we can estimate the integral $I_{3,q}(t)$ from below. First of all, we point out that it is positive if q satisfies the restrictions from the Theorem statement, since $q < -n - \frac{2}{\gamma-1}$ implies $q < 2-n$. Further, according to equation (3) the entropy is conserved along trajectories of particles. Therefore $S(t,x) \ge \min\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} S_0(x) = s_0$. Let us denote

$$C_3 = e^{s_0}. \tag{15}$$

Since we assume that $s_0 > -\infty$, then $C_3 > 0$. It follows from Lemma 3 that for q from the Theorem statement

$$I_{3,q}(t) \ge C|q||q+n-2|G_q^{\gamma}(t)\varepsilon^{-((q+n)(\gamma-1)+2)},$$

where the constant C is defined as follows:

$$C := C_1C_3. \tag{16}$$

Besides we have $G_q(t) \le \varepsilon^q m$.

Taking into account all estimates obtained, we get from (13)

$$\frac{d}{dt}F_q(t) \ge \frac{|q|+1}{|q|}\frac{F_q^2(t)}{\varepsilon^q m} - 2E|q|\varepsilon^{q-2} + |q||q+n-2|CG_q^{\gamma}(t)\varepsilon^{-((q+n)(\gamma-1)+2)} - |q|M\varepsilon^{q-1} =$$

$$= \frac{|q|+1}{|q|\varepsilon^q m}(F_q^2(t) - q^2\varepsilon^{2q-2}Q_q(t)), \tag{17}$$

where $Q_q(t)$ is defined in (8).

We consider firstly the case $Q_q(0) > 0$.

Assume that initially condition (10) is satisfied with the value of δ, given by (11), that is

$$F_q(0) > |q|\varepsilon^{q-1}R_q(0)\operatorname{cth}(\frac{\lambda T}{2}), \tag{18}$$

where $\lambda := \frac{(1+|q|)R_q(0)}{\varepsilon m}$, the function $R_q(0)$ is defined in (9).

As follows from (17), under this condition within a time $t \in [0,\tau)$, $\tau > 0$ the function $F_q(t)$, and, consequently, $G_q(t)$ will increase. The function $Q_q(t)$ will decrease, inversely, as one can see from its expression. Thus, in the moment $t = \tau$ the inequality

$$\frac{dF_q(t)}{dt}(\tau) \ge \frac{|q|+1}{|q|\varepsilon^q m}(F_q^2(t) - q^2\varepsilon^{2q-2}Q_q(0))$$

holds. Acting analogously, we can prolong the interval of decreasing of $Q_q(t)$ till $t \in [0, 2\tau)$. By means of this procedure, we can in finite number of steps attain the time T. So, for all $t \in [0,T)$ the inequality

$$\frac{dF_q(t)}{dt} \ge \frac{|q|+1}{|q|\varepsilon^q m}(F_q^2(t) - q^2\varepsilon^{2q-2}Q_q(0)) \tag{19}$$

takes place.

Let us note that condition (18) signifies that inequalities

$$F_q(t) > |q|\varepsilon^{q-1}R_q(0)\operatorname{cth}(\lambda T) > |q|\varepsilon^{q-1}R_q(0) \tag{20}$$

hold.

Integrating (19), we obtain that

$$F_q(t) \ge \frac{|q|\varepsilon^{q-1}R_q(1+\mathcal{K}e^{\lambda t})}{1-\mathcal{K}e^{\lambda t}},$$

where $\mathcal{K} = \dfrac{F_q(0) - |q|\varepsilon^{q-1}R_q(0)}{F_q(0) + |q|\varepsilon^{q-1}R_q(0)} = const$. If (20) holds, then $\mathcal{K} < 1$ and $F_q(t)$ becomes unbounded within a finite time $t_* \le T_1 = \frac{1}{\lambda}\ln\frac{1}{\mathcal{K}}$.

However, as follows from the Hölder inequality, $|F_q(t)|$ is bounded, namely,

$$|F_q(t)| \leq |q|\varepsilon^{q-1}\sqrt{2mE}. \tag{21}$$

Thus, if we show that $T_1 < T$, then we will go to a contradiction with the assumption on smoothness of solution up to the moment T.

Indeed, we solve inequality

$$\frac{1}{\lambda}\ln\frac{1}{\mathcal{K}} < T,$$

and obtain that it holds if the condition

$$F_q(0) > |q|\varepsilon^{q-1}R_q(0)\frac{e^{\lambda T}+1}{e^{\lambda T}-1}$$

holds, it is the same as (18) (or (10)).

Now let $Q_q(0) = 0$. Analogously to the preceding case on can show that if conditions (10) and (11') hold, then the functions $F_q(t)$ and $G_q(t)$ increase, therefore $Q_q(t)$ decreases. So, we have from (17) that

$$\frac{dF_q(t)}{dt} \geq \frac{|q|+1}{|q|\varepsilon^q m}F_q^2(t). \tag{22}$$

Integrating (22) we obtain that for all $F_q(0) > 0$ within a time later then $T_2 = \frac{|q|\varepsilon^q m}{|q-1|F_q(0)}$, the function $F_q(t)$ become unbounded. Condition (10) is the requirement imposed on $F_q(0)$ for the implementation of inequality $T_2 < T$.

At last, if $Q_q(0) < 0$, then for any initial data such that $F_q(0) \geq 0$, functions $F_q(t)$ and $G_q(t)$ increase, $Q_q(t)$ decreases, therefore from (17) we get

$$\frac{dF_q(t)}{dt} \geq \frac{|q|+1}{|q|\varepsilon^q m}(F_q^2(t) + q^2\varepsilon^{2q-2}|Q_q(0)|). \tag{23}$$

Integrating (23) we obtain

$$\mathrm{arctg}\frac{F_q(t)}{|q|\varepsilon^{q-1}R_q(0)} \geq \mathrm{arctg}\frac{F_q(0)}{|q|\varepsilon^{q-1}R_q(0)} + \frac{|q|+1|R_q(0)}{\varepsilon m}t,$$

and one can conclude that function $F_q(t)$ becomes unbounded within a time later then

$$T_3 = \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \mathrm{arctg}\frac{F_q(0)}{|q|\varepsilon^{q-1}R_q(0)}\right)\frac{\varepsilon m}{(|q|+1)R_q(0)}.$$

If $T \geq \frac{\pi\varepsilon}{2(|q|+1)R_q(0)}$ (this is condition (11”)), then $T > T_3$. If $T < \frac{\pi\varepsilon}{2(|q|+1)R_q(0)}$, then for the implementation of inequality $T > T_*$, the value of $F_q(0)$ should be bounded from below by the constant $|q|\delta$, with δ indicated in (11”’), that is (10) should hold.

So, Theorem 1 is completely proved. □

However, it needs to point out that it may occur that we cannot find initial data and constants $M \geq 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $T > 0$, q, such that (10) holds. The following proposition concerns with a necessary condition of implementation of (10) for the case $Q_q(0) > 0$.

Proposition 1. *Let us suppose that the initial data and the constants* $M \geq 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $q < -n - \frac{2}{\gamma-1}$ *are such that*

$$1 + \frac{\varepsilon M}{2E} - \frac{CG_q(0)}{2E}\varepsilon^{-(2+(q+n)(\gamma-1))} > 0,$$

(that is $Q_q(0) > 0$*). Let also condition (10) hold.*

Then these constants and the time T, when the flow is assumed smooth, obey the following inequality

$$\mathrm{cth}\left(\frac{(|q|+1)R_q(0)T}{2\varepsilon m}\right) < \frac{\sqrt{2mE}}{R_q(0)}. \tag{24}$$

To prove the proposition we note that inequality (21) should be true at the zero moment of time, too. Then, taking into account (18), we get the following two-sided inequality:

$$|q|\varepsilon^{q-1}R_q(0)\mathrm{cth}\left(\frac{(|q|+1)R_q(0)T}{2\varepsilon m}\right) < F_q(t) \le |q|\mathrm{dist}(\partial\mathcal{V}(0), \mathrm{x}_0)^{q-1}\sqrt{2mE}.$$

Comparing the left and right sides of the last inequality, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2mE}}\mathrm{cth}\left(\frac{(|q|+1)R_q(0)T}{2\varepsilon m}\right)R_q(0) < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{dist(\partial\mathcal{V}(0), x_0)}\right)^{1-q} < 1,$$

it signifies (24). □

Remark 2. Necessary conditions, analogous to (24), for the case $Q_q(0) = 0$ and $Q_q < 0$, $T < \frac{\pi\varepsilon}{2(|q|+1)R_q(0)}$ are

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{(|q|+1)T}\sqrt{\frac{m}{2E}} < 1 \tag{25}$$

and

$$\mathrm{ctg}\left(\frac{(|q|+1)R_q(0)T}{\varepsilon m}\right) < \frac{2mE}{R_q(0)},$$

respectively.

Remark 3. Let us analyze inequality (24). We denote $\phi_1(R_q(0)) := \mathrm{cth}\left(\frac{(|q|+1)R_q(0)T}{2\varepsilon m}\right)$, $\phi_2(R_q(0)) := \frac{\sqrt{2mE}}{R_q(0)}$. First of all, (24) implies an upper bound for $Q_q(0)$, since $\phi_1(R_q(0)) \to 1$, and $\phi_2(R_q(0)) \to 0$, as $R_q(0) \to \infty$. For small $R_q(0)$ ($R_q(0) \to 0$) inequality (24) signifies that

$$1 + \frac{2\varepsilon m}{(1+|q|)TR_q(0)} < \frac{\sqrt{2mE}}{R_q(0)},$$

or

$$R_q(0) < \sqrt{2mE} - \frac{2\varepsilon m}{(1+|q|)T}.$$

The last inequality can be true only if

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{T} < \frac{(1+|q|)\sqrt{E}}{\sqrt{2m}},$$

it implies inequality (25), that is natural.

Example. Let us consider the simplest situation, where the velocity of flow is a constant vector, the density and pressure are constants. We suppose that the point x_0 is situated with respect to the volume $\mathcal{V}(0)$ such that $(\mathbf{V}(0,x), \mathbf{x} - x_0) < 0$. It is easy to see that in this case the left hand side of (10) is negative. Evidently that in our situation $T = \infty$. Above we pointed out that at a constant pressure we can set $M = 0$.

We restrict ourself by situations where $Q_q(0) < 0$. In this case, as follows from (11"), if the left hand side of (10) is negative, then the moving volume will attain the ε – neighborhood of point x_0.

The calculation shows that for constant values of velocity, density and pressure

$$\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon M}{2E}-|q+n-2|\frac{CG_q^\gamma(t)}{2E}\varepsilon^{-(q\gamma+n(\gamma-1))}\right)\le 1-A|q|^\gamma\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{d_1}\right)^{\gamma|q|}\varepsilon^{-n(\gamma-1)},$$

where a positive constant A depends only on ρ_0, P_0, $\mathbf{V}_0$, n, γ, and $d_1 := \sup\limits_{x\in\mathcal{V}(0)} |x-x_0|$. It is clear that $\frac{\varepsilon}{d_1}\le\frac{\varepsilon}{\mathrm{dist}(\partial\mathcal{V}(0))}<1$. Therefore, if we require condition

$$A|q|^\gamma\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{d_1}\right)^{\gamma|q|}\varepsilon^{-n(\gamma-1)}>1, \tag{26}$$

then $Q_q(0)$ will be negative. Condition (26) signifies

$$\ln\varepsilon>\frac{1}{\gamma|q|-n(\gamma-1)}\ln\left(\frac{d_1^{\gamma|q|}}{A|q|^\gamma}\right),$$

that is ε is sufficiently large.

From the other side, condition (26) signifies that if the ratio $\frac{\varepsilon}{d_1}$ is not very small, that is the moving volume is close to the ε – neighborhood of point x_0, then the value in the left hand side of inequality (26) can be large for small ε, too.

So, if we do not want to miss in the progressive movement the ε – neighborhood of the point x_0, then we need to require initially the volume under consideration to be sufficiently close to this neighborhood or the neighborhood to be sufficiently large. We should get the same conclusion from elementary geometric considerations.

REMARK 4. Functionals $G_q(t)$ can be used for another purposes. In particular, at $q=2$ it is possible to apply them for investigation of properties of solutions to equations of compressible fluid with forcing in several dimension, namely, for the search of sufficient conditions of a loss of smoothness by classical solutions [5],[6],[7]. Besides, by means of these functionals one can find asymptotics of the kinetic and potential components of total energy for a smooth flow of the compressible fluid [8], [9], and construct classes of exact solutions for such system of equations, as well [10],[11],[12].

Список литературы

[1] A.I.Volpert, S.I.Khudiaev, *On the Cauchy problem for composite systems of nonlinear equations.* Mat.Sbornik **87**(1972), N4, 504–528.

[2] A.Majda, *Compressible fluid flow and systems of conservation laws in several space variables,* Appl.Math.Sci. **53**(1984), 1–159.

[3] J.-Y.Chemin, *Remarque sur l'apparition de singularités dans des ecoulements euleriens compressibles*, Comm. Math.Phys. **133**(1990), 323-329.

[4] L.V.Ovsyannikov, *Lectures on the fundamentals of gas dynamics.*.- Moskva: "Nauka". 368 p. (1981).

[5] T.C.Sideris, *Formation of singularities in three-dimensional compressible fluids,* Comm.Math.Phys. **101** (1985), 475–485.

[6] O.S.Rozanova, *Generation of singularities of compactly supported solutions of the Euler equations on a rotated plane.* Differ. Equat., **34**(8) (1998), 1118-1123.

[7] O.C.Розанова, *Development of singularities for the compressible fluid equations with external force in several dimensions*(to appear in J.Math.Sci.), e-Print archive:http://arxiv.org/math.AP/0411652 math.AP/0411652

[8] J.-Y.Chemin, *Dynamique des gaz à masse totale finie,* Asymptotic Analysis. **3**(1990), 215-220.

[9] O.S.Rozanova, *Energy balance in a Model of the Dynamics of a Two-Dimensional Baroclinic Atmosphere,* Izvestiya, Atmospheric and Oceanic Physics , **34**(1998), N 6, 738–744.

[10] O.S.Rozanova, *On classes of globally smooth solutions to the Euler equations in several dimensions* in: Hyperbolic problems: Theory, Numerics, Applications. Proceedings of 9th International Conference in Pasadena, Caltech, March 25-29,2002, pp.861-871.Springer,2003.

[11] O.S.Rozanova, *Application of integral functionals to the study of the properties of solutions to the Euler equations on riemannian manifolds*, J.Math.Sci. **117**(5)(2003), 4551–4584.

[12] O.S.Rozanova,*Classes of smooth solutions to multidimensionalbalace law of gas gynamic type on riemannian manifolds,* in: "Trends in Mathematical Physics Research"ed.C.V. Benton, New York, Nova Science Publishers, Inc. pp. 155-204, 2004.